

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/674,077	09/29/2003	Richard J. Johnson	18133-201 DIV	1221		
30623	7590 05/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	VIN, COHN, FERRIS	ALI, MOHAMMAD M				
AND POPEO	, P.C. CIAL CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, M	A 02111		3744			

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					4-				
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	/				
Office Action Summary		10/674,0	)77	JOHNSON ET AL.					
		Examine	or .	Art Unit					
		Mohamm	nad M Ali	3744					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	•				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC maions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply were ply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no endication. I days, a reply within the stautory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirt will expire SIX (6) MON plication to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on 29 September	2003.						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are: a)⊠ ion to the drawing(s) he correction is requi	be held in abeyan red if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d  2. Certified copies of the priority d  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation.	ocuments have been ocuments have been fithe priority documents all Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Al ents have been le 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachmen			🗖 :						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo	O-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date			formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/674,077

Art Unit: 3744

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-10, and 24 respectively of U. S. Patent No. 6,668,565 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The claims 1-17 reads the claims 1-10, 24, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 24 respectively of US patent 6,668,565 in full.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,077

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 12-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17-21 and 24 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,565. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the additional phrase, "configured to be disposed in the rack" disclosed in the fourth line of claim 17 of US Patent 6,668,565 after the word "housing" it makes no substantial difference with the applied the claim 12.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by

Takahashi et al. (5,173,819). Takahashi et al. disclose a disk apparatus comprising a

rack/frame 9 with metal member 94 for supporting equipment module/assembly of HDU

(Head Disk Unit) 13, the modules 13 being disposed above one another in the rack, the

modules including fans (351-354) to draw gas from fronts of the modules 13 through the

modules 13 and to expel gas from backs of the modules 13, the modules 13 having

corresponding fronts, the method comprising drawing gas from a bottom region

entrance 8 of the rack 9; guiding the gas from the bottom region to a lower front region

disposed below the fronts of the modules 13; and forcing the gas upward from front

region into an upper front region adjacent the fronts of the modules 13 while inhibiting

the gas being initially forced into portions of the rack 9 other than the upper front region.

Takahashi also disclose filter 14. See Fig. 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,077

Art Unit: 3744

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Takahashi et al. in view of Nishikawa et al. (JP405332568A). Takahashi et al. disclose
the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Takahashi et al. do
not disclose a flexible plenum. Nishikawa et al. teach the use of a flexible plenum/duct
14 in an air conditioning system for the purpose of allowing to draw cool gas through it.

See Fig. 1 and the attached translation in English version. Therefore, it would have
been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made
to modify the disk apparatus of Takahashi et al. in view of Nishikawa et al. such that a
flexible plenum/duct could be provided in order to allow cool gas through it.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5032. The examiner can be reached from 6:10am to 4:30pm from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached at (703) 308-2597. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7764 for regular communications and after-final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

ma

Ма

May 7, 2004

William El Tapologi Primary Examiner, Art Unit 344